



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 487,851	01 19 2000	Robert J. Levy	7600-20U1 (CHOP-0013)	3653

7590 09/23/2002

Foley & Lardner
3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 500
Washington Harbour
Washington, DC 20007-5109

EXAMINER

LI, QIAN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1632

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/487,851

Applicant(s)

LEVY ET AL.

Examiner

Q. Janice Li

Art Unit

1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(3) Stephen Bent, counsel for applicants.

(2) Anne Marie Wehbe, PTO.

(4) R. Levy, applicant; K. Schwinghammer, Tech Trans.

Date of Interview: 09 September 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Yang et al.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The correlation of currently presented data in the specification with the breadth of the claims was discussed. The applicant pointed out that the instant invention is drawn to a novel concept for identifying potential therapeutic genes, the Examiners suggested that the applicant may consider to present claims drawn to that aspect.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04) If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required